

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,655 06/29/2001		Theary Chheang	56719US002	8517
32692	7590 03/18/2003			
	ATIVE PROPERTIES	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	
		DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/896,655	CHHEANG ET AL.
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE - External after - If th - If No - Fail - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on Inter	<u>view 3/14/2003</u> .	
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is a condition of the condition of the condition is a condition of the condition		
·	cion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application		
د، ا	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are withdrawn	i from consideration.	
5)[_]	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)[_	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	destina ann. ironnat	
	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or e ion Papers	election requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.	
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		miner.
/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·	
a	i) The translation of the foreign language pro- Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	reived.
ر باری، Attachmen		5 p. 15 kg and 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 12 6	
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	\prime (PTO-413) Paper No(s). $\underline{9}$. Patent Application (PTO-152)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	09/896,655	CHHEANG ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Mr. Dean Harts</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>14 March 2003</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-35</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed was the electrion/restriction of the present invention. Due to complexity of the restriction</u> , the applicant's representative requested written restriction.					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendr allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no co allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	DDV of the amendments that we	eed would render the claims ould render the claims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FINTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements.	last Office action has already l ILE A STATEMENT OF THE S	been filed, APPLICANT IS			
	/				
	-1/11.1				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signat	ure, if required			

Application/Control Number: 09/896,655

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-11, drawn to hot melt adhesive comprising exfoliated clay, classified in class 524, subclass 445.
 - II. Claims 12-24, drawn to conductive adhesive comprising exfoliated clay and conductive particle, classified in class 427, subclass 117.
 - III. Claim 25, drawn to method for providing electrical connection, classified in class252, subclass 500.
 - IV. Claims 26-30, 33, drawn to method of making electroconductive adhesive, classified in class 425, subclass 113.
 - V. Claims 31-32, drawn to making of electronic assembly, classified in class 414, subclass 904.
 - VI. Claim 34, drawn to electroconductive adhesive tape, classified in class 428, subclass 343.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II, III, IV, V or VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/896,655

Art Unit: 1714

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of adhesive vs. electroconductive adhesive renders two compositions having entirely different properties. Regular adhesive would have no use in electroconductive equipment or articles of groups III-VI

- 3. Inventions II and III, V and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the electroconductive adhesive can be utilized in coating of or putting together number of electronic components by either being dispersed on the tape or neat onto one of the substrates.
- 4. Inventions III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method discloses stepwise addition of components into the polymeric matrix. At the same time, the components can be added either together, in different order or when the polymer is being formed.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,655

Art Unit: 1714

Page 4

5. Inventions III, VI and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions making electroconductive connection is different from electroconductive assembly.

- 6. Inventions VI and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case in the connection is provided with use of an adhesive composition. At the same time, the adhesive composition can be applied right onto the substrate to be used in connection.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II-VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. At the same time, search for either one of the groups II-VI is completely different as indicated in the paragraph 1 of the restriction requirement.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,655

Art Unit: 1714

- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. A telephone call was made to Mr. Dean Harts on March 14, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Due to complexity of the restriction requirement, applicant's representative requested written restriction.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-5875. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,655

Art Unit: 1714

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Valaryna Wyroubsku